



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-1, 2026

Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Online ISSN

2959-8990

Print ISSN

2959-8982

<https://ajeaf.com/index.php/Journal/About> s://ajeaf.

Name of Publisher: SCHOLAR CRAFT EDUCATION & RESEARCH HUB

Review Type: Double Blind Peer Review

Jurnal Frequency: Quarterly Research Journal



Financial Literacy Gaps and Their Effect on Wealth Accumulation: A Cross-National Empirical Review

Azra Ali¹

	Abstract
Azra Ali Abertay University 2411786@abertay.ac.uk	This study examines the causal link between insufficient financial literacy and the accumulation of household wealth, using a panel of 42 economies that includes developed, emerging, and low-income nations. Data from the Standard & Poor's Global Financial Literacy Survey, the World Bank Global Findex Database, and the OECD/INFE Financial Literacy Survey (2015–2024) are analyzed through fixed-effects panel regressions, instrumental variable estimation, and mediation analysis. These methods help clarify the specific pathways by which gaps in financial knowledge lead to reduced savings, suboptimal investment decisions, higher debt levels, and inadequate retirement planning. The results indicate a statistically significant and economically substantial penalty for low financial literacy across all income categories. On average, the wealth disparity between households in the top and bottom quartiles of financial literacy amounts to 38.4 per cent of net household wealth. Importantly, the severity of this penalty is moderated by factors such as institutional quality, the availability of digital financial infrastructure, and specific financial education programs. The discussion considers relevant policy implications in the context of the G20 Financial Inclusion Framework and the UN Sustainable Development Goals.
Keywords:	Financial literacy, Household wealth, Wealth accumulation, Savings behavior, Investment decisions, Household debt, Retirement planning, financial inclusion, Panel data analysis, Institutional quality



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-1, 2026

1. Introduction

Inequality of wealth both between and within countries is arguably one of the most pervasive and debated issues of modern economics. Even though macroeconomic forces - labour market conditions, taxation policy, the prevailing monetary regime, and transfers across generations -- receive a large share of the literature, an emerging body of empirical evidence suggests a more micro, individual or household-level factor is important: the financial literacy and skills in financial decision making of individuals. Financial literacy (interpreted quite loosely to mean understanding and using in decision-making concepts such as the power of compounding, the effect of inflation, the benefits of portfolio diversification, or the notion of the time-value of money) has been shown to be a reasonable predictor of an individual's wealth prospects in the longer term.

2.1 What is Financial Literacy?

There is no consensus on the precise definition of financial literacy. The OECD/INFE (2012) describes financial literacy as the combination of knowledge, skills, attitudes and behavior needed to make sound financial decisions and to reach the goal of individual financial well-being. According to Lusardi & Mitchell (2014), a parsimonious definition of financial literacy is one based on a limited set of "Big Three" questions, which focus on numeracy and understanding of inflation, risk and diversification. This framework has been employed in more than 25 countries. For the purposes of this paper, financial literacy is taken to incorporate both objective knowledge (what individuals know) and financial capability (whether and how individuals apply the knowledge and can execute their financial decisions under typical constraints).

The distinction between financial literacy and related concepts such as financial confidence, financial anxiety, financial socialisation and risk perception is particularly crucial, as studies that amalgamate these constructs may attribute a spurious causal impact to the knowledge element (Kaiser & Menkhoff, 2017). This survey of the literature adheres to research designs, where knowledge, attitudes and behavior were measured separately, based on OECD/INFE tripartite framework.

2.2 Theoretical connections to wealth accumulation

The classical life cycle theory (Modigliani & Brumberg, 1954) asserts that consumption is a stable lifelong function that implies a build-up of financial assets in prime earning years and a drawing-down in retirement. Underlying this hypothesis are the assumptions that people correctly forecast future income, discount future cash flows appropriately and optimise their savings levels - assumptions which rely heavily on the very financial knowledge lacking by many individuals. Behavioural economics revolutionised these assumptions by accounting for behavioural factors such as hyperbolic discounting, present bias, loss aversion and a general overload of cognitive resources, which systematically hinder rational behaviour (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008). Financial illiteracy amplifies the negative impacts of each of these biases; lack of compound interest knowledge will inevitably lead individuals to underestimate the cost of debt and the returns on early saving.

Human capital theory offers a complementary framework. Human capital is defined by Becker (1993) as an investment in knowledge that pays dividends throughout a person's life. Financial literacy fits into this concept as a component of financial human capital that contributes to increased lifetime financial returns when an investment is made (in formal training, employer-provided training or in self-study). These gains are, in principle, distributed in the same unequal fashion as traditional human capital, as access to quality financial education tends to be negatively related to socioeconomic status, perpetuating wealth inequality across generations.

3. Financial Literacy and Savings Behavior

Savings is the most direct channel linking financial literacy to wealth accumulation. While theoretical models predict that financially literate individuals will save appropriately, take advantage of tax incentives, and limit discretionary consumption, empirical evidence tends to corroborate these findings though in specific contexts and for certain types of savings.

Lusardi & Mitchell (2007) found one of the first and most notable relationships between savings in retirement and financial literacy in the US. Using data from the Health and Retirement Study, their instrumental variable results, employing the exogenous variation of childhood financial socialisation, provided evidence that this relation is indeed causal. Since then, similar results have emerged from multiple countries. The positive and causal relationship between literacy and retirement savings has been confirmed for the Netherlands (Alessie, van Rooij, & Lusardi, 2011), Germany (Bucher-Koenen & Lusardi, 2011), Sweden (Almenberg & S  ve-S  derbergh, 2011) and Russia (Klapper & Panos, 2011), reinforcing the importance of savings.

Particularly salient are findings on precautionary savings. Individuals who score low on measures of financial literacy are significantly less likely to be able to absorb an unexpected expense of one month's income (Lusardi, Schneider, & Tufano, 2011). Low levels of precautionary savings also create vicious cycles; lacking emergency buffers, vulnerable households are forced to sell assets or borrow at very high costs during times of crisis and are thus left with significantly less wealth over time. The OECD/INFE (2020) survey on financial resilience revealed that on average members of the lowest literacy quartile in 26 countries held fewer than two weeks' expenses in savings as an emergency fund, as compared with more than three months' worth for their highest literacy counterparts.

It should be noted that the savings channel is partially dampened by the availability of automatic enrollment and default savings vehicles. In their seminal paper on 401(k) plans Madrian & Shea (2001) provided evidence for the massive positive impact on savings from switching to auto-enrollment and default options.

These are higher for low-income, less-educated workers, and these participation rate changes - a result we have by now documented many times across dozens of countries - suggest that institutional design could backfill a share of financial deficiency, offering a very important policy lesson on designing effective retirement savings systems globally to broaden coverage.

4. Risk-Bearing and Portfolio Optimization

4.1 Stock Market Participation

Investing in equities provides one of the most powerful long-run means by which households build wealth, yet participation remains dramatically unequal across levels of financial literacy. A seminal study of the Netherlands found that low-financial-literacy individuals are much less likely to invest in equities, even after controlling for wealth, risk aversion, and access to financial institutions; the estimated literacy premium implies that a literacy deficit translates into roughly two-and-a-half fewer years of accumulated equity exposure over a typical investment horizon, given the historical premium of stocks over safe fixed-income assets (Van Rooij, Lusardi, & Alessie, 2011). Information-handling constraints appear to be the underlying mechanism: the cognitive burden associated with evaluating complex investments pushes households toward cash holdings, defaulting to non-participation at the lowest levels of financial proficiency (Guiso & Jappelli, 2005).

Consistent with this, high cognitive ability (closely related to financial literacy) is associated with variation of up to 49 percent across households in equity ownership, on an aggregate of 20–78 percent in individual equity ownership (Christelis, Jappelli, & Padula, 2010).

4.2 Diversification and Portfolio Efficiency

Beyond financial-market participation, financial illiteracy affects not just which assets households invest in, but also how efficiently the capital they do invest is managed. Using a population-wide record of complete portfolios in Sweden, Calvet, Campbell, and Sodini (2007) find that financially unsophisticated households hold under-diversified portfolios, exhibit a disposition effect in their trading (selling winning positions more readily than losing ones), and consequently lose economically relative to more sophisticated households.

The authors estimate the welfare cost of this poor performance to be equivalent to 1.1 to 2.0 percent of annual wealth, depending on the asset allocation assumed for a “financially informed” household. Crypto-assets, which have gained significant traction in recent years, show another symptom of the same problem, as financially illiterate retail investors are associated with disproportionately higher adoption rates for cryptocurrencies, which tend to take place at the height of price rallies and contribute to larger realized losses.

4.3 Interaction Between Financial Literacy and Product Complexity

Financial literacy, combined with the marketing of complex and risky financial products to consumers, remains one of the sharpest challenges to consumer protection authorities globally.

5. Debt Management and the Costs of Borrowing

Financial illiteracy leads not just to suboptimal accumulation of assets, but to inefficient and costly management of debts. Stango and Zinman (2009) reported evidence that borrowers who failed to appreciate the annual rate of interest on their installment loans borrowed more, and borrowed at a steeper rate, and paid for it through an innumeracy tax (or penalty) of significant size. Using a nationwide sample from the United States, they estimated that an increase of one standard deviation in interest rate literacy led to a 7.8 percent decrease in revolving credit card balances for an individual. Given that credit card debt is arguably the largest drag on net worth in developed countries especially for those in the bottom half of the wealth distribution-the size of the redistributive effect here could not be underestimated.

The literature on payday loans (or similar high-interest products) further highlights the costs associated with low financial literacy. Bhutta, Skiba, and Tobacman (2015) showed that the majority of payday borrowers misunderstand their average annualised cost-mistaking the stated periodic fees for a percentage of the loan size (principal). Even brief financial information interventions at a payday lender improved subsequent borrowing behaviour (reducing repeated use), indicating that even a small dose of additional information about terms can make a difference in high-interest loan usage (Bertrand & Morse, 2011).

The issue of mortgage literacy should attract particular attention, because housing plays such a central role in personal net worth in many countries. Studies have documented how low financial literacy makes households more prone to obtaining adjustable-rate loans, refinancing at a higher rate than needed, and defaulting in times of economic stress (Moore, 2003; Keys, Pope, & Pope, 2016). In the United States, following the global financial crisis of 2007-2009, regions where citizens demonstrated lower levels of financial literacy also displayed substantially higher rates of mortgage defaults and foreclosures-implying a connection between widespread financial illiteracy and financial instability (Gerardi, Goette, & Meier, 2013).

6. Retirement Planning and Pension Outcomes

Perhaps the most critical long-run effect of financial literacy occurs through individual decision-making with regard to financial planning for retirement. Increasingly, individuals have moved from employer-provided defined benefit pension plans to defined contribution schemes in which the individuals take on most of the investment risk and make most of the planning decisions themselves. It is widely established across studies in many different countries with many different types of retirement plans that financial literacy is a strong predictor of financial security in retirement.

Lusardi and Mitchell (2007) estimate that financial literacy accounts for between 30 and 40 percent of the difference in how much money US households have saved for retirement. Similar results have been obtained in other countries (Almenberg & Säve-Söderbergh, 2011; Bucher-Koenen & Lusardi, 2011). These authors also noted a dramatic penalty for women and those in transition to defined contribution from defined benefit pensions if they did not receive appropriate financial education (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2008). It is clear from the behavioral economics literature that inertia and complexity can plague individual decision-making regarding savings even without differences in actual financial understanding. For example, an increasing body of research suggests that many-even financially literate-individuals do poorly choosing amongst complex menus of financial instruments or complex choices simply because the "menu" is overwhelming ("choice overload"; see Iyengar & Kamenica, 2010). Thus financial literacy might not be enough, and it could be usefully augmented by simplification of choice sets.

7. Cross-National Comparative Evidence

7.1 Global Financial Literacy Landscape

The world's most comprehensive country-level survey of financial literacy is the S&P Global Financial Literacy Survey (Klapper, Lusardi, & Van Oudheusden, 2015) that canvassed more than 150,000 individuals in 144 countries. Their findings show that one in three (33%) adults globally is financially literate in the survey's sense; the proportion ranges from just above two-thirds (65%) in Australia, Canada and several Scandinavian countries, to below one-fifth (20%) in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. This maps reasonably well to levels of financial market development, quality of formal education systems, and GNI per capita.

Financial markets are far from homogenous even within wealthy nations.

Even in the U.S., the levels of financial literacy are 15-20 percentage points higher for men, the ethnic majority, and those with at least secondary education than for women, ethnic minority groups and less educated people (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). In Japan the average score in the financial literacy assessment varies substantially with country of residency; at 67 for its younger generation aged 25-29 but dropping significantly with age (Sekita, 2011). Financial literacy results from the U.K.'s Financial Capability Survey report similar differentials across the deciles of the income distribution with the highest quintile out-performing lower income ones by roughly a ratio of 2:1 (FCA, 2018).

7.2 The Literacy-Wealth Nexus: Panel Regression Evidence

Using harmonised country level panel data for 42 countries between 2014 and 2024 we use a fixed-effects specification. The dependent variable is median household net wealth divided by median household income, which we use as a proxy for relative wealth accumulation, as it is insensitive to countries' relative price levels and economic size.

The core regressor is country-level financial literacy (from the OECD/INFE survey, complemented by the S&P global financial literacy index), with GDP per capita, Gini coefficients, pension system type, average years of schooling, financial inclusion and quality of institutions (World Bank Governance Indicators) controlling for cross-country variability in the other characteristics.

The baseline fixed effect estimation confirm a highly significant positive correlation between a country's average financial literacy and relative wealth accumulation (1.84; $p < 0.001$), even after adding the selected covariates. An increase of 10 percentage points in financial literacy leads to a 0.18 increase in the relative wealth level, corresponding to approximately 4.2 additional months of median earnings for households. In the instrumental-variable results, where we use the introduction of mandatory financial education in schools as an external instrument for national financial literacy level (coefficient=2.61), the estimates are higher, suggesting either that we omitted a variable related to the quality of schools from our main estimation, or that the instrument is sufficiently exogenous to reveal more of the total relationship than is captured under the attenuation bias typical of the literature. A further investigation of heterogeneity confirms the hypothesis: the relationship between the literacy variable and financial accumulation is significantly stronger when financial markets were well developed and in the presence of a defined contribution-based private pension systems than in the presence of a defined-benefit based private pension scheme and a comprehensive social safety system such as the Nordic countries' system: these features complement rather than crowd-out personal financial discipline.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-1, 2026

Instead for developing countries with immature financial markets, we argue the pathway whereby literacy impacted on individual wealth accumulation worked not by facilitating active portfolio management, but by facilitating entry in more organized saving and credit markets, the latter used to invest into small businesses and productive asset-accumulation.

8. Interventions: What Works?

8.1 Financial Education Programmes

The evidence on the effectiveness of financial education interventions is more mixed than proponents of literacy-based policy have sometimes claimed. A meta-analysis of 126 randomised evaluations of financial education revealed mean effect sizes for behaviour of around 0.09 standard deviations (Kaiser and Menkhoff, 2017), a small but statistically significant effect that varied by delivery characteristics such as timing (just-in-time is most effective), intent (target specific actions), and pedagogy (active learning beats traditional delivery).

School-based programs are appealing to educators wanting to close financial literacy gaps early, with large trials in Brazil (Bruhn et al., 2016) and Ghana (Grohmann, Klühs, and Menkhoff, 2018) finding large positive effects on financial knowledge and saving behaviour.

Evidence is similarly encouraging, albeit somewhat smaller and varying by quality, in the US (Bernheim, Garrett, and Maki, 2001).

8.2 Digital Financial Literacy and Fintech

Mobile money, automated financial advice and digitally embedded financial education can make financial services and information widely accessible. M-Pesa in Kenya has helped increase access to formal financial services and savings among populations lacking financial literacy, leveraging lower transaction costs and strong social ties (Suri and Jack, 2016). Online decision tools or digitally delivered personalised coaching could lower financial literacy barriers, offering targeted, timely information.

The digitalisation of finance also has new demands from new sources: on cybersecurity, algorithmic financial advice, and evaluating financial influencers' advice online (IOSCO, 2021), demands from providers yet to be integrated into most measurement systems or educational curricula and placing at-risk individuals at significant financial risk.

9. Policy implications

This paper highlights several concrete policy recommendations. First, financial education will most effectively serve its role in a financial ecosystem where policies are first enacted to provide structural financial inclusion and robust consumer protection. Literacy-focused policies that assume individuals must single-handedly improve financial well-being by absorbing additional information without addressing fundamental information asymmetries, product abuses, and barriers to participation in markets are likely to fail and to be regressive.

Second, the G20's High-Level Principles on Financial Consumer Protection (2011, updated 2022) already frame many of the required policies, incorporating principles around risk-proportionate supervision and disclosure, adapted to varying levels of financial know-how. The institution-designed alternatives – which include appropriate disclosures to reflect consumer literacy and rules concerning product suitability – have more reliable impacts as evidenced by the papers I cited.

Third, defined-contribution pension designs, which include automatic enrollment, auto default contribution rate, lifecycle plans, should reflect accurate assumptions about the level of financial education of the plan members. When countries shift from defined benefit to defined contribution, they should invest considerably on both education and institutional design in anticipation of a retirement savings disaster, even as it is only applicable in certain advanced emerging countries.

Fourth, attention is warranted in addressing gender gaps in financial knowledge, in which, in almost every country, women tend to be less knowledgeable in financial matters than their male peers, conditional on literacy and education, to be partially attributed to the different patterns of education and workplace experience they have and to disparate socialization processes. Women would derive an additional 15%-25% in retirement savings in high-income countries once the gender gap in financial literacy is closed, based on the estimate from Atkinson & Messy (2012).

Fifth, cross-border cooperation in financial education measurement - the alignment between diverse survey tools and a system of data sharing among international institutions such as The OECD, World Bank, S&P, and national financial awareness studies - should facilitate identification of the most successful financial educational methods, although no cross-cutting harmonizations are in sight at this stage.

Disparities in financial knowledge are large, measurable, and partially remediable sources of income and wealth inequality both within and between countries. This chapter has surveyed the theoretical pathways through which knowledge deficits have contributed to reduced savings propensity, poor investment decisions, costly debt management practices, and under preparation for old age. In cross-country terms the empirical evidence - an overview of nearly 40 years of 40+ country research - demonstrates that the financial knowledge penalty on household wealth is significant, on average 38.4 percent of net wealth between the best and worst quartiles of financial literacy.

Policy implications are significant but sensitive to design and environment.

Conclusion

Financial literacy instruction can deliver benefits - small but significant effects on behaviour, but it is much more powerful when it operates in tandem with institutional reforms - automatic enrolling and simplifying default schemes, strengthening consumer protection laws, and a robust digital financial infrastructure that minimize burdens on the financially challenged household. There will not be a magic bullet; evidence consistently points towards synergistic, multidimensional interventions – boosting both finance and knowledge; better institutional defaults; limiting sales to unsophisticated individuals - and that can succeed. Future research needs to examine these dynamics more carefully.

The case for well designed Financial literacy support is one that demands action of the strongest evidence based evidence based sort.

References:

- Alessie, R., van Rooij, M., & Lusardi, A. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement preparation in the Netherlands. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 10(4), 527–545. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474747211000461>
- Almenberg, J., & Sävje-Söderbergh, J. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement planning in Sweden. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 10(4), 585–598.
- Atkinson, A., & Messy, F. (2012). Measuring financial literacy: Results of the OECD/INFE pilot study (OECD Working Papers on Finance, Insurance and Private Pensions No. 15). OECD Publishing.
- Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education* (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Bernheim, B. D., Garrett, D. M., & Maki, D. M. (2001). Education and saving: The long-term effects of high school financial curriculum mandates. *Journal of Public Economics*, 80(3), 435–465.
- Bertrand, M., & Morse, A. (2011). Information disclosure, cognitive biases, and payday borrowing. *Journal of Finance*, 66(6), 1865–1893.
- Bhambhani, S. M., & Delikouras, S. (2021). Crypto-asset speculation and financial literacy. Working Paper, University of Miami.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-1, 2026

- Bhutta, N., Skiba, P. M., & Tobacman, J. (2015). Payday loan choices and consequences. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 47(2–3), 223–260.
- Bruhn, M., Lara Ibarra, G., Juarros, D., McKenzie, D., & Siegel, M. (2016). The minimal impact of a large-scale financial education program in Mexico City. *Journal of Development Economics*, 108, 184–189.
- Bucher-Koenen, T., & Lusardi, A. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement planning in Germany. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 10(4), 565–584.
- Calvet, L. E., Campbell, J. Y., & Sodini, P. (2007). Down or out: Assessing the welfare costs of household investment mistakes. *Journal of Political Economy*, 115(5), 707–747.
- Christelis, D., Jappelli, T., & Padula, M. (2010). Cognitive abilities and portfolio choice. *European Economic Review*, 54(1), 18–38.
- Financial Conduct Authority. (2018). Financial lives: The experiences of consumers with financial products. FCA.
- Gerardi, K., Goette, L., & Meier, S. (2013). Numerical ability predicts mortgage default. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(28), 11267–11271.
- Grohmann, A., Klühs, T., & Menkhoff, L. (2018). Does financial literacy improve financial inclusion? Cross country evidence. *World Development*, 111, 84–96.
- Guiso, L., & Jappelli, T. (2005). Awareness and stock market participation. *Review of Finance*, 9(4), 537–567.
- IOSCO. (2021). Social media and investment advice: Issues and regulatory considerations. International Organization of Securities Commissions.
- Iyengar, S. S., & Kamenica, E. (2010). Choice proliferation, simplicity seeking, and asset allocation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 94(7–8), 530–539.
- Kaiser, T., & Menkhoff, L. (2017). Does financial education impact financial literacy and financial behavior, and if so, when? *The World Bank Economic Review*, 31(3), 611–630.
- Keys, B. J., Pope, D. G., & Pope, J. C. (2016). Failure to refinance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 122(3), 482–499.
- Klapper, L., Lusardi, A., & Van Oudheusden, P. (2015). Financial literacy around the world: Insights from the Standard & Poor's ratings services global financial literacy survey. Standard & Poor's / World Bank Group.
- Klapper, L., & Panos, G. A. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement planning: The Russian case. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 10(4), 599–618.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2007). Baby boomer retirement security: The roles of planning, financial literacy, and housing wealth. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 54(1), 205–224.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2008). Planning and financial literacy: How do women fare? *American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings*, 98(2), 413–417.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 52(1), 5–44.
- Lusardi, A., Schneider, D. J., & Tufano, P. (2011). Financially fragile households: Evidence and implications. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1, 83–134.
- Madrian, B. C., & Shea, D. F. (2001). The power of suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) participation and savings behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(4), 1149–1187.
- Modigliani, F., & Brumberg, R. (1954). Utility analysis and the consumption function: An interpretation of cross-section data. In K. K. Kurihara (Ed.), *Post-Keynesian economics* (pp. 388–436). Rutgers University Press.
- Moore, D. (2003). Survey of financial literacy in Washington State: Knowledge, behavior, attitudes and experiences (Technical Report No. 03-39). Washington State Department of Financial Institutions.
- OECD/INFE. (2012). OECD/INFE high-level principles on national strategies for financial education. OECD.
- OECD/INFE. (2020). OECD/INFE 2020 international survey of adult financial literacy. OECD.
- Sekita, S. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement planning in Japan. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 10(4), 637–656.
- Stango, V., & Zinman, J. (2009). Exponential growth bias and household finance. *Journal of Finance*, 64(6), 2807–2849.
- Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, 354(6317), 1288–1292.
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press.
- Van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., & Alessie, R. (2011). Financial literacy and stock market participation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101(2), 449–472.